arXiv:1601.05292v2 [math.GT] 23 Feb 2016

LINK HOMOTOPIC BUT NOT ISOTOPIC TO THE UNLINK

BAKUL SATHAYE

Abstract. We provide a family of links with n components ($n \geq 3$) that are link homotopic but not link isotopic to the unlink. Further, every sublink of these links is link isotopic to the unlink, and all the Milnor invariants of these links vanish.

1. Introduction

An n-component link L in S^3 is a collection of piecewise linear maps $(l_1, \ldots, l_n) : S^1 \to S^3$, where the images $l_1(S^1), \ldots, l_n(S^1)$ are pairwise disjoint. A link with one component is a knot.

Two links in S^3, L_1 and L_2, are said to be isotopic if there is an orientation preserving homeomorphism $h : S^3 \to S^3$ such that $h(L_1) = L_2$ and h is isotopic to the identity map.

The notion of link homotopy was introduced by Milnor in [4]. Two links L and L' are said to be link homotopic if there exist homotopies $h_{i,t}$, between the maps l_i and the maps l'_i so that the sets $h_{1,t}(S^1), \ldots, h_{n,t}(S^1)$ are disjoint for each value of t. In particular, a link is said to be link homotopically trivial if it is link homotopic to the unlink. Notice that this equivalence allows self-crossings, that is, crossing changes involving two strands of the same component.

The question that arises now is how different are these two notions of link equivalence.

From the definition it is clear that a link isotopy is a link homotopy as well. Link homotopy allows self-crossings, which dramatically simplifies the equivalence between links. Under link homotopy, all knots become trivial knots, despite the fact that they are non-trivial under isotopy. Link homotopy in some sense measures the linking between different components of a link. So the question reduces to whether two link homotopic links are also isotopic. We have the example of the Whitehead link, which is a non-trivial link that becomes trivial under link homotopy. This gives us an example of a 2-component link that is link homotopic, but not isotopic, to the unlink, answering our question for links with two components.

In this article, we provide examples of links with more than 2 components. In particular, we prove the following.

Main Theorem. *For every $m \geq 3$ there is an m-component link, $\mathcal{L}_m$, with the following properties:*

(1) *$\mathcal{L}_m$ is link homotopic to the unlink*
(2) *$\mathcal{L}_m$ is not link isotopic to the unlink*
(3) *Every proper sublink of $\mathcal{L}_m$ is link isotopic to the unlink.*

Moreover, examples exist with the additional property:

(4) *All Milnor's μ-invariants, $\overline{\mu}(i_1 \ldots i_s)$, are 0.*

In a subsequent paper, these links will be used to construct a family of new examples of 4-manifolds which have singular metrics with nonpositive curvature, but do not support a smooth Riemannian structure with nonpositive sectional curvature.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to my advisor, Jean-François Lafont, for posing the question addressed in this paper and for his support and guidance through the process. I would like to thank J.-B. Meilhan for his inputs.

2. Jones polynomial

The Jones polynomial gives an invariant of an oriented link, that assigns to each link a Laurent polynomial in the variable $t^{1/2}$ with integer coefficients. If two links are link isotopic then they have the same Jones polynomial.

The Jones polynomial is a function

$$V : \{\text{Oriented links in } S^3\} \to \mathbb{Z}[t^{-1/2}, t^{1/2}]$$

with the following properties.

(1) $V(\text{unknot}) = 1$.
(2) $V(L \cup \bigcirc) = (-t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})V(L)$.
(3) V satisfies the Skein relation: whenever three oriented links L_+, L_- and L_0 are the same, except in the neighbourhood of a point where they are as shown in Figure 1, then

$$t^{-1}V(L_+) - tV(L_-) + (t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})V(L_0) = 0.$$

Figure 1. Skein relation

The Jones polynomial is discussed in detail in the book [2].

3. Whitehead link

The Whitehead link is given in Figure 2. It can be seen to be link homotopic to the unlink by making a crossing change at the central crossing, A. However, its Jones polynomial is computed to be $t^{-3/2}(-1 + t - 2t^2 + t^3 - 2t^4 + t^5)$, while the Jones polynomial of the unlink is $(-t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})$.



Figure 2. Whitehead link

This shows that the Whitehead link is not link isotopic to the unlink. Moreover, removing any component from the Whitehead link leaves us with the unknot. Thus, it satisfies the conclusion of the Main Theorem for $m = 2$.

4. New Examples

A Brunnian link is a non-trivial link such that each sublink is link isotopic to an unlink. An example of a Brunnian link with 3 components are the Borromean rings (Figure 3). For $m \geq 3$, a family of Brunnian links, B_m, was given by Milnor [4] as shown in Figure 4. Notice that these links satisfy properties (2) and (3) of our theorem, but not property (1).



FIGURE 3. Borromean rings



FIGURE 4. Brunnian link with m components, B_m

Definition (Whitehead double)**.** Let K be a component of a link L in S^3, regarded as $h(\{0\} \times S^1)$ for some embedding $h : D^2 \times S^1 \to S^3 \setminus (L \setminus K)$, such that K and $h((0,1) \times S^1)$ have linking number zero. Let n be a non-zero integer. Consider in the solid torus $T = D^2 \times S^1$ the knot $\mathcal{W}_n$ with n crossings, as depicted in Figure 5. The knot $h(\mathcal{W}_n)$ is called the Whitehead n-double of K, and is denoted by $W_n(K)$.



(A) n negative twists (B) n positive twists

FIGURE 5. Whitehead n twists, $\mathcal{W}_n$

If the components of the link L are numbered, $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$, then the link obtained by considering the Whitehead n-double on the i-th component of L will be denoted by $W_n^i(L)$. We are now ready to prove our Main Theorem.

Case $m = 3$: We first consider the case of 3-component links. Let B_3 be the Brunnian link with 3 components, with its components numbered as shown in Figure 6. Consider the Whitehead n-double (with negative twists) of the third component of B_3 to obtain the new link, $W_n^3(B_3)$. To simplify notation, we will denote this by $W_n(B_3)$ hereafter (Figure 7).

Observe that this link is link homotopic to the unlink. Even a single crossing change in $W_n(B_3)$ unwinds the link to give an unlink. Note that $W_n(B_3)$ satisfies properties (1) and (3) of our theorem.



FIGURE 6. Brunnian link with 3 components, B_3



FIGURE 7. Whitehead double of B_3

We claim that there is an integer $n > 0$ for which $W_n(B_3)$ is not link isotopic to the unlink, that is, it satisfies property (2). In fact, such an n occurs in every pair of consecutive odd, or even, integers.

We show this by showing that the Jones polynomial of $W_n(B_3)$ for some n is not the same as that of the unlink. Let us first consider the case when n is even.

Consider the skein relation at the crossing indicated in Figure 8.



FIGURE 8

$$t^{-1}V(W_{n-2}(B_3)) - tV(W_n(B_3)) + (t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})V(L_3) = 0. \tag{1}$$

If our claim is false, then both $W_{n-2}(B_3)$ and $W_n(B_3)$ must be link isotopic to the unlink and must have the same Jones polynomial as the unlink with 3 components, namely, $(-t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})^2$.

In that case, the above equation becomes

$$t^{-1}(-t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})^2 - t(-t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})^2 + (t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})V(L_3) = 0. \tag{2}$$

Therefore,

$$(t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})V(L_3) = (t - t^{-1})(-t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})^2. \tag{3}$$

That is,

$$V(L_3) = (-t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})^3. \tag{4}$$

Now we compute the Jones polynomial for L_3 through multiple applications of the skein relations.

5. Computations

Consider the link L_3 and focus on the part of the link indicated in Figure 9.



Figure 9

We apply the skein relation at the crossings indicated to get the following equations.



$$t^{-1}V(L_3) = tV(L^1) - (t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})V(L^2)$$



$$tV(L^1) = t^{-1}V(L^3) + (t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})V(L^4)$$



$$tV(L^2) = t^{-1}V(L^5) + (t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})V(L^6)$$

Under isotopy the links L^3, L^4, L^5 and L^6 can be drawn as shown in Figure 10.



FIGURE 10

Now define the link A as shown.



Notice that the links L^3, L^4, L^5 and L^6 can be written, up to isotopy, using the links A and the Brunnian link, B_3. Indeed, we have $L^3 = B_3 \cup \bigcirc$, $L^4 = B_3$, $L^5 = B_3$, $L^6 = A \cup \bigcirc$.

So now our computation is reduced to finding the Jones polynomial for B_3 and A. After applying further Skein relations, we can calculate:

$$V(B_3) = -t^3 + 3t^2 - 2t + 4 - 2t^{-1} + 3t^{-2} - t^{-3}$$
$$V(A) = t^2 + 2 + t^{-2}.$$

Using the Skein relations above, this allows us the calculate:

$$V(L_3) = t^{9/2} - 2t^{7/2} + t^{5/2} - 2t^{3/2} - 2t^{1/2} - 2t^{-1/2} - 2t^{-3/2} + t^{-5/2} - 2t^{-7/2} + t^{-9/2}. \quad (5)$$

However, this is different from the expression we obtained in (4) for $V(L_3)$, proving our assumption to be incorrect. Hence, both W_n and W_{n-2} cannot be unlinks. This shows that at least one of any pair of consecutive even numbers gives a link with properties as required in the Main Theorem. We have thus proved our Main Theorem for links with $m = 3$ components.

If n is odd, the orientation on the last component of L_3 changes. However, this does not change our final expression for $V(L_3)$, giving us the same result for any pair of consecutive odd integers.

Case $m > 3$: Now consider the case when the number of components is greater than 3. Consider the m-component Brunnian link, B_m ($m > 3$), and the Whitehead n-double of the m-th component to obtain the link $W_n(B_m)$. Using the Skein relations, similar to the ones in Figure 8, we obtain the following link L_m (see Figure 11).

If $W_n(B_m)$ and $W_{n-2}(B_m)$ were both isotopic to the unlink, then computations similar to the equations (1) – (4) show that the Jones polynomial for L_m will be

$$V(L_m) = (-t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})^m. \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, we compute the Jones polynomial of L_m by application of Skein relations at the crossing (A) and then at (B). This reduces the link L_m to the links $L^1_m, \ldots, L^6_m$, where $L^3_m = B_m \cup \bigcirc$, $L^4_m = B_m$, $L^5_m = B_m$ and $L^6_m = L_{m-1} \cup \bigcirc$.



FIGURE 11

Then similar computations give us the following recurrence relation for the Jones polynomial for L_m, for every $m > 3$:

$$V(L_m) = V(L_{m-1})(t^{5/2} - t^{3/2} - t^{-3/2} + t^{-5/2}) + (-t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})^m(t^2 - 2t + 3 - 2t^{-1} + t^{-2}) \quad (7)$$

We put $z = t^{1/2}$ to turn $V(L_m)$ into a Laurent polynomial in z with integer powers.

$$V(L_m) = V(L_{m-1})(z^5 - z^3 - z^{-3} + z^{-5}) + (-z - z^{-1})^m(z^4 - 2z^2 + 3 - 2z^{-2} + z^{-4}) \quad (8)$$

Let $\deg(V(L_m))$ denote the degree of $V(L_m)$ in z. We claim that $\deg(V(L_m)) > m$ for every $m \geq 3$. From equation (5), we know that $\deg(V(L_3)) = 9 > 3$, hence the result is true for $m = 3$.

Assume that this is true for $m - 1$. From the relation (8) we see that the highest power of z in $V(L_m)$ comes from one of the terms, $z^5V(L_{m-1})$ and $(-z - \frac{1}{z})^m z^4$. By induction hypothesis, we know that, $\deg(V(L_{m-1})) > m - 1$. Hence, $\deg(z^5V(L_{m-1})) > 5 + m - 1 = m + 4$. This shows that degree of $V(L_m)$ must be the degree of the term $z^5V(L_{m-1})$, that is, $\deg(V(L_m)) = \deg(V(L_{m-1})) + 5$. Also, $\deg(V(L_m)) > m + 4 > m$, which proves our claim.

It also gives us a recurrence relation for the degree of $V(L_m)$, namely,

$$\deg(V(L_m)) = \deg(V(L_{m-1})) + 5.$$

This in turn gives us that, for $m \geq 3$, $\deg(V(L_m)) = 5(m - 3) + 9$.

This shows that

$$\text{degree of } V(L_m) > \text{degree of } (-z - \tfrac{1}{z})^m, \text{ for } m \geq 3,$$

showing that the expression obtained in (6) must be different from the one in the recurrence relation (7).

Thus one of the links, $W_n(B_m)$ or $W_{n-2}(B_m)$, must be non-isotopic to the unlink. This completes the proof for the Main Theorem for $m \geq 3$.

Remark 1. Consider the Seifert matrix of the link $W_n^-(B_3)$, the Whitehead double of B_3 with n negative twists, where $n \geq 4$ is even.

$$S_n = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc|c} & & S & & & \begin{matrix}0\\ \vdots\\ 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ -1\end{matrix} \\ \hline 0\cdots 0 & & -1 & 0 & -1 & \frac{n-4}{2} \end{array}\right)$$

where S is nothing but the Seifert matrix for the link L_3 from Figure 9.

On looking at the form of the matrix, one is tempted to compare the signatures of the two links. To do this, consider the symmetric bilinear form given by $A_n = S_n + S_n^T$. The *signature* of the link $W_n^-(B_3)$, $\sigma(W_n^-(B_3))$, is by definition, the signature of A_n.

$$A_n = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} & & & 0 \\ & A & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & -1 \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & -2 \\ \hline 0 \cdots 0 & -1 & 1 & -2 \end{array}\right.\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ n-4 \end{array}\right) \end{array},$$

where A gives the bilinear form corresponding to the link L_3. (Detailed discussion on Seifert form and signature of links can be found in [2], Chapters 6 and 8.)

Suppose A defines a bilinear form on the vector space V, and A_n on $V \oplus \mathbb{R}$. Let w_n be the eigenvector for the largest eigenvalue, λ_n, of A_n, and H_n be the subspace orthogonal to w_n. The form of the matrix A_n allows us to conclude that $w_n \to (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ and $\lambda_n \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$. This in turn gives us that subspace H_n approaches V as $n \to \infty$. Hence, the form $A_n|_{H_n}$ approaches $A_n|_V$. However, $A_n|_V$ is same as the form A.

This shows that the eigenvalues of $A_n|_{H_n}$ must also approach those of A. Thus, *as long as none of the eigenvalues of A are zero*, for a large enough value of n, we would obtain $\sigma(A_n|_{H_n}) = \sigma(A)$, which would force $\sigma(A_n) = \sigma(A) + 1$. Alternatively, if $W_n^+(B_3)$ is a link having n positive twists with $n \geq 4$ being even, then a similar analysis shows that for large n, $\sigma(A_n) = \sigma(A) - 1$.

Thus, for large enough n even, $\sigma(W_n^+(B_3)) = \sigma(L_3) - 1$ and $\sigma(W_n^-(B_3)) = \sigma(L_3) + 1$. Hence at least one of the links, $W_n^+(B_3)$ or $W_n^-(B_3)$, must have signature different from that of the unlink, proving the existence of a link as in the Main Theorem for $m = 3$. The same method would work for links $W_n(B_m)$ for $m > 3$ as well.

Unfortunately, the following observation shows that $\det(A) = 0$, so A will have zero as an eigenvalue, showing that the above method will not work for these examples of links.

A *coloring* (modulo n) of a link L is a function c from the set of arcs in its link diagram to $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ if at every crossing we have $2c(x_i) \equiv c(x_j) + c(x_k) \bmod n$, where x_i, x_j, x_k are arcs at a crossing as shown.



FIGURE 12

A system of these equations gives us the coloring matrix. Deleting any one column and one row yields a new matrix. We know that $\det(A)$ is equal to the determinant of this new matrix for link L_m. And this determinant is 0 if and only if the link has a coloring mod n for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and in particular, if it has a coloring over $\mathbb{Z}$ ([1], Chapter 3).

For every $m \geq 3$, we have the following coloring of L_m over $\mathbb{Z}$, which forces $\det(A) = 0$.



FIGURE 13

Remark 2. As per the comments by an anonymous referee, one can use 3-manifold theory to show that the Whitehead double of (any non-split) link is isotopically non-trivial, and in fact, non-split. The complement of a Brunnian link in S^3 gives an irreducible 3-manifold with incompressible boundary, and so does the complement of the Whitehead n-twists in the solid torus.

Let $\mathcal{W}_n$ be the Whitehead n twists in the solid torus $D^2 \times S^1$ (Figure 5). Let $M_1 := S^3 \setminus N(B_m)$ and $M_2 := (D^2 \times S^1) \setminus N(\mathcal{W}_n)$, where $N(B_m)$ and $N(\mathcal{W}_n)$ denote the tubular neighbourhoods. Let T^2 be the torus boundary of the tubular neighborhood of the m-th component of B_m, $N(B^m_m)$. Then we have $S^3 \setminus N(W_n(B_m)) = M_1 \cup_{T^2} M_2$. Since M_1 and M_2 are irreducible manifolds, gluing them along their incompressible boundary gives us another irreducible manifold. This shows that $W_n(B_m)$ cannot be a trivial link, since otherwise we get a 2-sphere that separates $S^3 \setminus N(W_n(B_m))$.

To see that gluing M_1 and M_2 along their incompressible boundary gives us another irreducible manifold, say M, let us first assume that M is not irreducible. Then there must be a copy of $\alpha : S^2 \hookrightarrow M$ which separates M. Also, $\alpha(S^2)$ must intersect T^2 , since otherwise it would lie completely in either M_1 or M_2, which are irreducible. We may assume that α is transverse to T^2. Then $\alpha(S^2) \cap T^2$ is a codimension 1 submanifold in T^2. Then $\alpha^{-1}(T^2) \hookrightarrow S^2$ also has codimension 1, and hence must be a union of copies of S^1 in S^2.

Consider a copy of S^1, say S^1_β, in $\alpha(S^2) \cap T^2$ that bounds a disk in M_1. Since T^2 is incompressible in M, it must bound a disk in T^2. This gives a copy of S^2 in M_1. Being the complement of the link B_m, M_1 is aspherical. Hence the 2-sphere must bound a 2-ball in M_1. Then the map α can be perturbed so that $\alpha(S^2) \cap T^2$ no longer contains S^1_β. Doing this for all the copies of S^1 in $S^2 \cap T^2$ that bound a disk in M_1 will eventually show that there is a copy of S^2 in M_2 that separates M_2 which contradicts the irreducibility of M_2.

Remark 3. J.-B. Meilhan pointed out that a result of Meilhan-Yasuhara (Theorem 1, [3]) can also be used to show that $W_n(B_m)$ satisfy (2) for every $n > 0$. The result shows that these links have non-zero Milnor isotopy invariants [5], showing that they are link isotopically non-trivial. And hence they also fail to satisfy property (4) of the Main Theorem. In Section 7, we give examples satisfying properties $(1) - (4)$.

6. Non-isotopic links

In this section we point out that the method described in the previous sections is useful to further show that each of the links, $W_n(B_3)$, are in fact non-isotopic links. To see this, observe that one can obtain the Jones polynomial of $W_n(B_3)$ as a function of $W_{n-2}(B_3)$, using the Skein relation in equation (1).

Define $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}[t^{-1/2}, t^{1/2}] \to \mathbb{Z}[t^{-1/2}, t^{1/2}]$, given by $\Phi(p) = t^{-2}p + t^{-1}(t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})V(L_3)$, where $V(L_3)$ is the Jones polynomial of the link L_3 as given in equation (5).

Then $V(W_n(B_3)) = \Phi(V(W_{n-2}(B_3)))$, for every $n \geq 2$. Hence we only need to analyse the function Φ in order to understand the Jones polynomials of the Whitehead doubles.

One can see that the degree of $\Phi(p)$ depends on that of the polynomial p. Let us denote by $\deg_L(p)$ the degree of the lowest degree term of p. Then, $\deg_L(\Phi(p)) = \min\{\deg_L(p) - 2, \deg_L(V(L_3)) - 3/2\}$. We know from our previous computations that $\deg_L(V(L_3)) = -9/2$. Hence, $\deg_L(\Phi(p)) = \min\{\deg_L(p) - 2, -6\}$.

For $n = 2$, we have that $V(W_2(B_3)) = \Phi(V(W_0(B_3)))$. Since $W_0(B_3)$ is nothing but the unlink with 3 components, $V(W_0(B_3)) = (-t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})^2$. Hence $\deg_L(V(W_0(B_3))) = -1$, and hence $\deg_L(V(W_2(B_3))) = \deg_L(\Phi(V(W_0(B_3)))) = -6$. Continuing in a similar fashion, we get that $\deg_L(V(W_4(B_3))) = -8$, $\deg_L(V(W_6(B_3))) = -10$ and so on.

Similarly, computations show that $V(W_1(B_3)) = -t^4+2t^3-t^2+2t+1+t^{-2}-t^{-3}+2t^{-4}-t^{-5}$, which in turn gives us that $\deg_L(V(W_3(B_3))) = \deg_L(\Phi(V(W_1(B_3)))) = -7$, $\deg_L(V(W_5(B_3))) = -9$ and so on.

We can generalize this to obtain that $\deg_L(V(W_n(B_3))) = -n - 4$ for $n \geq 1$. This shows that each of these links are neither isotopic to the unlink, nor to each other.

Further computations show that for links with more than 3 components,

$$\deg_L(V(W_n(B_m))) = \frac{5 - 5m}{2} - (n - 1) \text{ for } n \geq 1 \text{ and } m > 3.$$

This shows that the links are all non-isotopic links.

7. Milnor invariants

Let L be an m-component link in S^3. Let $F(L)$ be the fundamental group, $\pi_1(S^3 - L)$, and $F_q(L)$ be its q-th lower central subgroup. We now define Milnor's μ-invariants [5].

We have a presentation of the group $F(L)/F_q(L)$ with m generators, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$, where α_i represents the i-th meridian of the link L. For each $i = 1, \ldots, m$, the longitude l_i of the i-th component of L is represented by a word in $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$.

On substituting $\alpha_j = 1 + \kappa_j$, and $\alpha_j^{-1} = 1 - \kappa_j + \kappa_j^2 - \kappa_j^3 + \ldots$ in the expression for l_i, we can represent l_i by a formal power series in $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_m$. Let $\mu(j_1 \ldots j_s i)$ denote the coefficient of $\kappa_{j_1} \ldots \kappa_{j_s}$ in this series, for every $s \geq 1$.

Let $\Delta(i_1 \ldots i_r)$ denote the greatest common divisor of $\mu(j_1 \ldots j_s)$, where $j_1 \ldots j_s (2 \leq s < r)$ ranges over all sequences obtained by cancelling at least one of the indices $i_1 \ldots i_r$, and permuting the remaining indices cyclically. And now $\overline{\mu}(i_1 \ldots i_r)$ is defined as the residue class of $\mu(i_1 \ldots i_r)$ modulo $\Delta(i_1 \ldots i_r)$. These are Milnor's isotopy invariants of links.

Consider the link obtained from the Brunnian link B_3 by taking the Whitehead double twice, on the first and last component (Figure 14). We denote this link by $W^{1,3}_{n,m}(B_3)$, where k and n are the number of twists on the first and third components respectively.



Figure 14. $W^{1,3}_{k,n}(B_3)$

By Corollary 4.2 in [3], we know that all the Milnor invariants, $\overline{\mu}$, of $W^{1,3}_{k,n}(B_3)$ are 0. Hence these links satisfy property (4). However, we can no longer use Milnor invariants to show that the links are not isotopic to unlink. But we can use the Jones polynomials to show existence of links that satisfy all four properties.

It is clear that the links will satisfy properties (1) and (3). We show as before that the Jones polynomial will come out to be non-trivial showing that the links satisfy (2).

On considering the Skein relation at the crossing (A) (Figure 14) we get the Skein relation

$$t^{-1}V(W_{k,n-2}(B_3)) - tV(W_{k,n}(B_3)) + (t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})V(L_{k,3}) = 0,$$

where $L_{k,3}$ is the link in Figure 15.



FIGURE 15. $L_{k,3}$

If both $W_{k,n-2}(B_3)$ and $W_{k,n}(B_3)$ are unlinks then we will have $V(L_{k,3}) = (-t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})^3$.

Now consider the Skein relation at crossing (B) in Figure 15. We get the following relation.

$$t^{-1}V(L_{k-2,3}) - tV(L_{k,3}) + (t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})V(L'_3) = 0,$$

where L'_3 is the following link.



FIGURE 16. L'_3

We compute $V(L'_3)$ in similar fashion as we computed $V(L_3)$ in Section 5. We first apply Skein relations at the crossings shown in the box (a) in Figure 16, and then on the crossings in box (b).

This gives us the Jones polynomial

$$V(L'_3) = -t^6 + t^5 + t^3 + 2t^2 + 2t + 6 + 2t^{-1} + 2t^{-2} + t^{-3} + t^{-5} - t^{-6}.$$

This shows that either $V(L_{k-2,3})$ or $V(L_{k,3})$ is different from $(-t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2})^3$. This in turn shows that at least one of $W_{k,n}(B_3)$, $W_{k,n-2}(B_3)$, $W_{k-2,n-2}(B_3)$ and $W_{k-2,n-2}(B_3)$ is isotopically non-trivial, showing the existence of links satisfying (1) − (4) in the Main Theorem.

We can extend these computations to links L'_m for $m > 3$ to get the recurrence relation

$$V(L'_m) = V(L'_{m-1})(t^{5/2}-t^{3/2}-t^{-3/2}+t^{-5/2}) - (-t^{1/2}-t^{-1/2})^m(t^{5/2}-t^{3/2}-t^{1/2}+t^{-1/2}-t^{-3/2}+t^{-5/2}).$$

Analysis similar to that in the previous section shows that $V(L'_m)$ is not equal to $(-t^{-1/2}-t^{1/2})^m$ (the degree of $V(L'_m)$ being strictly larger than m), which in turn proves the Main theorem for m-component links with $m > 3$.

References Cited

[1] C. Livingston *Knot Theory*, Mathematical Association of America, Washington DC, 1993.

[2] W.B.R. Lickorish *An Introduction to Knot Theory*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. 1997.

[3] J.-B. Meilhan, A. Yasuhara *Whitehead double and Milnor invariants*, Osaka Journal of Mathematics. Vol. 48, No. 2 (2011), pp. 371-381

[4] J. Milnor *Link Groups*, The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 59, No. 2 (Mar., 1954), pp. 177-195

[5] J. Milnor *Isotopy of links*, Algebraic geometry and topology. A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz, pp. 280-306. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1957.

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, 43210, USA
E-mail address: sathaye.2@osu.edu